
CONTENTS

Title and author(s)	Pages
REVIEW OF SCIENCE EDUCATION RESEARCH AND PRACTICE	
The learning and teaching of the concepts 'amount of substance' and 'mole'. A review of the literature <i>C. Furió, R. Azcona, and Y.J. Guisasola</i>	277-292
RESEARCH REPORTS	
Pre-service primary teachers' mental models of kinetic theory <i>N. Taylor & R.K. Coll</i>	293-315
Student teachers' problems in teaching 'electrolysis' with a key demonstration** <i>M. Ahtee, T. Asunta & H. Palm</i>	317-326
** This paper is from 5 th ECRICE and has not been reviewed anonymously.	
<hr/> SPECIAL SECTION: PAPERS FROM THE 6TH ECRICE/2ND ECCE^{&}	
INVITED CONTRIBUTION	
Research and research utilization in chemical education <i>R. Kempa</i>	327-343
REVIEWED CONTRIBUTIONS ***	
THE PRACTICE OF CHEMISTRY EDUCATION: PAPERS	
Teachers' continuing learning of chemistry: Some implications for science teaching <i>A. Goodwin</i>	345-359

THE PRACTICE OF CHEMISTRY EDUCATION: REPORTS

- Securing the future of chemistry: A case study of developments in chemical education in Ireland 361-369
P.E. Childs

*** These papers have NOT been reviewed anonymously

NEWS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS 371-374

REVIEWERS, VOLUME 3, 2002 375

CONTENTS, VOLUME 3, 2002 377-380

AUTHOR INDEX, VOLUME 3, 2002 381-382

SUBJECT INDEX, VOLUME 3, 2002 383-384

* *Actual publication date: 6 November 2002*

& A NOTE ABOUT SUBMISSIONS FROM 6th ECRICE/2nd ECCE

In response to the *Call for Papers for Special Sections* with papers from 6th ECRICE/2nd ECCE (that took place in September 2001, in the University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal), a total of 23 manuscripts were received, one as invited contribution, the rest to undergo the standard review process. In addition, one author agreed not to submit because of the paper being not suitable for CERAPIE. Three papers appear in this issue. From the remaining 20 manuscripts:

- 4 were returned to the authors by the editor as unsuitable for CERAPIE;
- 1 was rejected after review;
- 2 were returned (without review) to the authors by the editor, with advice for improvement - but have not been received so far;
- 2 were resubmitted after advice for improvement by the editor, and, after review, they must be resubmitted in revised form;
- 8, after review, must be resubmitted in revised form;
- 3 are under review, of which 2 after revision.

It is expected that the next issue of CERAPIE will include further papers from 6th ECRICE/2nd ECCE. Note that most authors submitted their manuscripts toward the end of the deadlines; this has contributed to the overall delay of the review process. Finally, CERAPIE thanks all authors who have responded to its Call.